

0040-4039(94)02 112-O

# **Medium Effects in Unsymmetrical Disulfides Compounds Synthesis from Bunte Salts**

**Patricia Hiver\*, Amadou Dicko\*, Daniel Paquer** 

Laboratoire de Chimie Organique, Université de Metz, Ile du Saulcy 57045 Metz (France)

Abstract : The present study allows us to show the influence of the polarity of the reaction medium as well as the electron-donating and withdrawing character of para substituents of α-halogeno toluenes *in the preparation of unsymmetrical disulfides using the Bunte salt method. The use of DMSO as a reaction solvent permits not only to dissociate the sodium salt, but also to synthesise unsymmetrical* disulfides from compounds which are inadequate using conventional methods

The increasing interest for sulfur-containing compounds in various fields varying from biology $1.2$ , biomedical chemistry<sup>3-5</sup> to lubrication<sup>6-8</sup> is at the origin of the development of new active molecules. Although preparation methods are well documented<sup>9-13</sup>, the synthesis of alkyl benzyl disulfides from sodium thiosulfate is more complex because of the low solubility of this salt in organic solvents<sup>14</sup>.

In the present work, we studied the influence of the reaction medium and the nature of the para substituent in the preparation of benzylic unsymmetrical disulfides using Footner's method<sup>14</sup>. Our study led us to use DMSO as reaction solvent, a solvent that turns out to be original in its ability to dissociate sodium thiosulfate. This synthesis, not yet described in the literature, seems to be particularly efficient for the preparation of unsymmetrical disulfides, especially in the case of alkyl benzyl compounds substituted by electron-donating groups in the *para* position.

Therefore, among the different methods proposed in the literature, we used and adapted Footner's method14 which consists in adding, in a homogeneous medium, a thiolate and an alkyl thiosulfate also known as Bunte salt<sup>15</sup>. Although the aliphatic halides lead always to Bunte salts in good yields<sup>16</sup>, our results show that for some benzylic para-substituted halides, these salts are not formed. In a homogeneous medium (methanol/water), the strong solvation of the nucleophile would slow down and even prevent the reaction process. In order to ascertain this assumption, we have chosen to synthesise the Bunte salts from para substituted benzylic compounds in a heterogeneous medium by PTC<sup>17</sup> and the disulfides in homogeneous medium.



**The** results are reported in **Table 1.** 



**Table 1**: Synthesis of Bunte salts of *para*-substitued-α-halogeno tolucnes in heterogeneous medium (PTC) and of unsymmetrical disulfides in homogeneous medium (methanol/water and DMSO). **(a)** : **[173.(b)** : [141, (c) : **experimental rcsulls, Cdl** : 1181.

## Heterogeneous **medium** *:*

## *Phase Transfer Catalysis (toluenelwater) :*

*In order* to carry out the reaction in a non protic solvent (the sodium thiosulfate salt **being completely insoluble in this** case), we **have** proceeded **by PTC.** Under these conditions, our results show that the reaction is sensitive to the nature of the para-substituent (donating or withdrawing character) : for the electron-withdrawing groups (2) and **even for the** iunsubstituted derivative (I), we obtain the corresponding **disulfide** in quantitative yields while the yield slow down in case of 4 and 5, and no reaction occurs for 6.

## **Homogeneous medium ;**

## **Dipolar protic solvent (methanol/water) :**

In mixed dipolar protic solvent (methanol/water), Nambury<sup>19</sup> has shown that the presence of an electronwithdrawing group leads to an important decrease of the yield compared to the unsubstituted benzylic derivative. With methyl electron-donating groups, our results produce a satisfactory yield. Some limitations have been encountered with methoxy and carboxylic ester groups giving rise to solvolysis of the halides.

#### $Dipolar$  aprotic solvent (DMSO) :

With DMSO, we observed a notable yields improvement, especially for the electron-donating groups. Moreover, we obtain **the expected disulfides with no by-products.** 

The essential step for the synthesis of **unsymmetrical** disulfides is the formation of **Bunte salts probably**  from an SN<sub>2</sub> reaction<sup>20</sup>. Parker<sup>21</sup> clearly shows that the structure of the transition state is dependent on the electron-withdrawing or donating character of the substituent. With electron-withdrawing groups, the transition **state** leads to an undissociated conformation (type I), whereas for electron-donating groups, **the transition state** adopts a dissociated structure (type II), which confers **to** the reaction a behaviour close to **SNt .** 

$$
P + C + X
$$
  
\n
$$
P + C + X
$$
  
\n
$$
P
$$
  
\n
$$
T
$$
  
\n $$ 

**These inquiries** lead to a better understanding of the yield variations **of the** reaction.

• A heterogeneous medium which favors the SN<sub>2</sub><sup>22</sup> explains the quantitative yields obtained with the electron-withdrawing groups.

<sup>l</sup>A homogeneous polar medium leads to a **improvement of the yield** of **the reactions which go** through a type II intermediate. In a protic polar medium however, **the** strong nudeophile salvation leads to a **decrease** of its **reactivity** and proves the absence of results. On the other hand, our aprotic conditions **which** stabilise the intermediate and do not solvate the nucleaphile, explains the better yields obtained even in presence of methoxy or ester **substituents.** 

The importance of the solvent polarity and the nature of **the para groups** in the synthesis of Bunte salts or unsymmetrical disulfides from  $para$ -substituted- $\alpha$ -halogeno toluenes have been clearly shown. The use of DMSO **as a reaction** solvent leads to the total dissociation of the sodium thiosulfate and **to the formation** of unsymmetrical benzylic disulfides whatever the nature of the substituent in the *para* position. Moreover, the reaction proceeds by a one pot synthesis.

# **Typical procedure**

**The** starting materials are **commercially available except for the** compound with the carboxylic ester substituent we have prepared according to usual procedures (protection of the hydroxylic group by carboxylic ester group and bromation).

## Bunte salt synthesis :

In a 500 ml round bottomed flask equipped wirh stirrer **and reflux condenser, 5 g (20 mmol)** of sodium thiosuifate is dissolved in the presence of 200 ml of DMSO. The mixture is heated to 80°C until the sodium thiosulfate dissolved. After cooling, 20 mmol of the halide is **added** dropwise. The mixture is stirred at 80°C **during 4 hours.** 

## **Thiolate** *synthesis :*

*A mixture* of 1.2 g (20 mmol) of potassium hydroxide in 20 ml of water and I.8 g (20 mmol) of 2-methyl-2-propanethiol is refluxed for 30 minutes. The resulting thiolate is maintained at  $0^{\circ}C$ .

#### *Dikurfide synthesis .-*

The aqueous mixture of the thiolate is added to the aqueous solution of alkyl thiosulfate and the mixture is stirred during one hour. 200 ml of chloroform is added to the residue and the organic layer is washed several times with water. The **organic** layer is dried on sodium sulfate and the solvent was removed under vacuum.

# **Acknowledgements**

**The** financial support provided by SOLLAC (Florange), CED (Montataire) and IRSID (Unieux) is gratefully acknowledged.

## **References and nqtes**

**I.** Von Sonntag, C.; Zhang, X.; Schuchmann, H. P. 1. Chim. Pkys. 1991,8X, 6. 987-92.

2. Harpp, D. N.; Ash, D. K.; Back,T. G.; Gleason, J. G.; Orwig, B. A.: Vanhorn, W. F.; Snyder, J. P.

Tefrahedron Lett. 1970,41, **3551-54.** 

**3.** Field, **L.;** Ravichandran, R. J. Og. *Chem.* 1979, 44, 2624-29.

4. Hiskey, R. G.; Carroll, T. I.; Babb, R. M.; Bledsoe, J. U.; Puckett, R. T.; Roberts, B. W. *J. Am. Chem. sot.* **1961,26, 11.52-55.** 

**5. Field, L.; Owen,** T. C.; **Crenshaw, R. R.; Bryan,** A. W. .I. Org. *Chem.* 1961,83, 4414-17.

- 6. **Hiley, R. W.; Spikes, H. A.; Cameron, A.** *Lubrication Engeering* **1981,37,732-37.**
- 7. Davey, W.; Edwards, B. D. Wear 1957, 1, 291-304

8. Born, M.; Parc, G.; Paquer, D. J. Chim. Phys. 1987, 84, 315-24.

9. Kharasch, N. *Organic sulfur compounds*. Vol 1. Ed. Perganon Press 1961.

- 10. Moore, C. G.; Porter, M. J. Chem. Soc. 1958, 2890-92.
- 11. Mukaiyama, T.; Takahashi, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1968**, 56, 5907-08.
- **12. Capozzi, G.;** Capperuoci, A.; Del Duce, R.; Menichetti, S. *Terraltedron Lerr. 1989, ?f, 30. 299.5-98.*
- 13. Kuliev, A. B.; Zeinalova, G. A.: Gasanov, M. S.; Yu Aliev, F. J. Org. Chem. of the *USSR* 1978, 14, 611, C.A : 52, 1093Oa, 19%.
- 14. Footner, H. B.; Smiles, S. J. Chem. Soc. 1925, 2887-91.
- **15. Bunte, H. Z. Angew. Chem. <b>1925**, 38, 977.
- **16. Milligan, B.; Swan, J. M. J. Chem. Soc. <b>1963**, 6008-12.
- 17. Hiver, P.; Dicko, A.; Paquer, D. to be published.
- 18. Spectroscopic data.

The <sup>I</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR analyses of the disulfides 1-6 have been obtained on a Bruker AC 250. The solvent used is **CDClj** and the chemical shifts are measured in ppm in relation to the internal standard (TMS). The following nomenclature has been used for the  $13C$  NMR :



 $[1] : {}^{13}C$  NMR : 136.0 (C1) ; 129.0 (C2) ; 128.0 (C3) : 127.0 (C4) ; 45.2 (CH<sub>2</sub>-S<sub>2</sub>) ; 47.9 (C) ; 29.7 (CH3). <sup>1</sup>H NMR : 7.2 (m. 4H) ; 3.9 (s, 2H) ; 1.3 (s, 9H). [2] : <sup>13</sup>C **NMR** : 146.7 (C1): 123.3 (C2) ; 129.7 (C3) ; 145.0 (C4) ; 43.8 (CH2-S2) ; 48.0 (C) ; **29.6 (CH3). <sup>1</sup>H NMR : 7.6 (m. 4H) ; 4 (s, 2H) ; 1.5 (s, 9H). [3] : <sup>13</sup>C NMR : 121.0 (C1) ; 131.3 (C2) ; 130.7 (C3) ; 136.2 (C4)** ; 44.5 (CH<sub>2</sub>-S<sub>2</sub>) ; 47.8 (C) ; 29.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR : 7.3 (m, 4H) ; 3.8 (s, 2H) ; 1.2 (s, 9H). 141 <sup>- 13</sup>C NMR : 137.0 (C1) ; 129.3 **(C2) : 129.2 (C3) ; 134.0 (C4) ; 45.6 (CHpS2) ; 21.3 (CH3) ; 48.0 (C) : 10.2 (CHj).** 1H NMR : 7.1 **(m.** 4H) ; 3.Y (s,2H) ; 1.3 (s, 9H) ; 2.3 (s, 3H). [5] : <sup>13</sup>C NMR : 158.3 (C1) ; 113.3 (C2) ; 129.8 (C3) ; 128.7 (C4) ; 44.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>-S<sub>2</sub>) ; 54.5 (CH<sub>3</sub>) ; 47.3 (C) : 30.0 (CH3). IH NMR : 7 (m, 4H) ; 4 (s, 2H) ; 1.2 {s, 9H) : 3.X (s, 3H). Ifi] : 1% NMR : 150.0 (Cl) ; 121.6 (CT) ; 130.0 (C3) : 134.9 (c4) : **164.9 (CO) :44,X (CHz-Sz) : 47.Y (C) : 20.9 (CHj) :** 130.? [Cl') : **130.1 (CZ') : 12X.3 cC.3') ; 113.5 (C4'). 1H**  NMR : 7-8 (m, 4H) : 4 (s, 2H) ; 1.5 (s, 9H).

19. Nambury, C. N. V. J. Sci. Banaras Hindu Univ. 1956, 7, 254-61, C.A : 89, 113555r. 1978.

20. Slator, A. J. Chem. Soc. 1904, 1286-1304.

21. Parker, A.J. *Chem. Rev.* 1969.59, I-32.

22. Dehmlow, E. V.; Dehanlow, S. S. *Phtrse trursfcrt ctrtulysis.* Monographs in modern chemistry. Vol. I 1. Ed.Verlag Chemie, Weinheim 19X0.

(Received in *France* 4 *October* 1994: *accepted 24 October 1994)*